

Arab Journal of Urology

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/taju20

The significance of De Ritis ratio in patients with radiation-recurrent prostate cancer undergoing salvage radical prostatectomy

Fahad Quhal, Mohammad Abufaraj, Florian Janisch, Keiichiro Mori, Ivan Lysenko, Hadi Mostafaei, David D'Andrea, Romain Mathieu, Dmitry V. Enikeev, Harun Fajkovic, Axel Heidenreich & Shahrokh F. Shariat

To cite this article: Fahad Quhal, Mohammad Abufaraj, Florian Janisch, Keiichiro Mori, Ivan Lysenko, Hadi Mostafaei, David D'Andrea, Romain Mathieu, Dmitry V. Enikeev, Harun Fajkovic, Axel Heidenreich & Shahrokh F. Shariat (2020) The significance of De Ritis ratio in patients with radiation-recurrent prostate cancer undergoing salvage radical prostatectomy, Arab Journal of Urology, 18:4, 213-218, DOI: <u>10.1080/2090598X.2020.1771947</u>

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/2090598X.2020.1771947</u>



ONCOLOGY/RECONSTRUCTION: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The significance of De Ritis ratio in patients with radiation-recurrent prostate cancer undergoing salvage radical prostatectomy

Fahad Quhal ^{[ba,b}, Mohammad Abufaraj ^{[bc}, Florian Janisch^{a,d}, Keiichiro Mori^{a,e}, Ivan Lysenko^a, Hadi Mostafaei^{a,f}, David D'Andrea^a, Romain Mathieu^g, Dmitry V. Enikeev^h, Harun Fajkovic^a, Axel Heidenreichⁱ and Shahrokh F. Shariat^{a,c,h,j,k,l}

^aDepartment of Urology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ^bDepartment of Urology, King Fahad Specialist Hospital, Dammam, Saudi Arabia; ^cDivision of Urology, Department of Special Surgery, Jordan University Hospital, the University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan; ^dDepartment of Urology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; ^eDepartment of Urology, Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; ^fResearch Center for Evidence Based Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran; ^gDepartment of Urology, Rennes University Hospital, Rennes, France; ^hInstitute for Urology and Reproductive Health, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia; ⁱDepartment of Urology, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany; ^jDepartment of Urology, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA; ^kDepartment of Urology, University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas, TX, USA; ⁱDepartment of Urology, Second Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the clinical prognostic value of preoperative serum De Ritis ratio (DRR; aspartate aminotransferase/alanine aminotransferase) on postoperative survival outcomes in patients with radiation-recurrent prostate cancer (PCa) who underwent salvage radical prostatectomy (SRP).

Patients and methods: A retrospective review was conducted of patients with radiationrecurrent PCa who underwent SRP in five tertiary referral centres from 2007 to 2015. An increased preoperative serum DRR was defined as \geq 1.35. The association between DRR and postoperative outcomes was tested. Multivariate Cox analyses were performed to identify the independent predictors of biochemical recurrence (BCR), metastases-free survival (MFS), overall survival (OS), and cancer-specific survival (CSS).

Results: Overall 214 patients underwent SRP, of them 98 (45.8%) with a high serum DRR were included in the study. In a multivariate analysis high DRR was an independent predictor of BCR [hazard ratio (HR) 1.79, 95% confidence interval (Cl) 1.16–2.78; P = 0.009]. No significant association was found between preoperative DRR and MFS (HR 1.32, 95% Cl 0.53–3.30; P = 0.55), OS (HR 2.35, 95% Cl 0.84–6.57; P = 0.10), and CSS (HR 3.36, 95% Cl 0.65–17.35; P = 0.15).

Conclusion: Increased preoperative serum DRR is associated with the development of BCR in patients with radiation-recurrent PCa who underwent SRP. DRR might serve as an early indicator of BCR, which may facilitate recognition of potential relapse and could translate into more intense follow-up and even salvage therapy in selected patients.

Abbreviations: ADT: androgen-deprivation therapy; BCR, biochemical recurrence; BCRFS: BCR-free survival; CSS: cancer-specific survival; DRR: De Ritis ratio; HR: hazard ratio; MFS: metastasis-free survival; PCa: Prostate Cancer; OS: overall survival; PLND: pelvic lymph node dissection; (EB)RT: (external beam) radiotherapy; SRP: salvage radical prostatectomy

Introduction

It is estimated that there will be almost 174 650 new cases of prostate cancer (PCa) and 31 620 associated deaths in the USA in 2019, ranking it as the most frequent cancer and the second leading cause of cancer death in men [1].

In the past several decades, radiotherapy (RT) has played an important role in the treatment of localised PCa. However, 20–50% of patients will eventually develop recurrence and sometimes will require additional treatment [2–4]. Salvage radical prostatectomy (SRP) is one of the options for such patients, but it has a varying response rate in the literature. In a systematic review, Chade *et al.* [5] reported a 5- and 10-year biochemical recurrence (BCR)-free survival of 47–82% and 28–53%, respectively. This wide range of responses to SRP shows us the need for biomarkers that can help identify the subset of patients who are likely to benefit from salvage local therapy (e.g. SRP) compared to those who need systemic therapy [6–8].

The De Ritis ratio (DRR) [the ratio of aspartate aminotransferase (AST)/alanine aminotransferase (ALT)] has been shown to be associated with poor outcomes with non-urological [9,10] and urological

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 10 April 2020 Accepted 11 May 2020

KEYWORDS

Biochemical recurrence; prognostic; SRP; aspartate aminotransferase; alanine aminotransferase



CONTACT Shahrokh F. Shariat 🔯 shahrokh.shariat@meduniwien.ac.at 🗈 Department of Urology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18-20, Vienna 1090, Austria

^{© 2020} The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

malignancies [11–13]. We hypothesised that preoperative DRR would be associated with biochemical progression in patients treated with SRP for radiation-recurrent non-metastatic PCa.

To test this, we examined the role of preoperative DRR in a large cohort of patients with radiationrecurrent PCa undergoing SRP. As to our knowledge, no study to date has investigated it in this group of patients.

Patients and methods

Patient selection

Five participating centres provided information for men treated with SRP at their site. All patients underwent concomitant pelvic lymph node dissection (PLND). The local Institutional Review Boards approved the present study. All institutions shared the agreements before the initiation of the study and provided the necessary clinical data. The study cohort included 214 consecutive patients with radiation-recurrent PCa treated with SRP from January 2007 to December 2015. The radiotherapy (RT) modalities included brachytherapy, external beam RT (EBRT), or between distinct RT techniques (EBRT and brachytherapy, EBRT and intensity modulated RT, or EBRT and three-dimensional conformal RT).

PCa recurrence after RT was defined as a PSA level increase of ≥ 2 ng/mL above the nadir according to the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group-American Society for Radiation Oncology Phoenix criteria [14].

All the patients underwent a pre-SRP biopsy to confirm radiation-recurrent PCa. None of the patients had radiographic evidence of metastatic disease before SRP. Open surgical SRP, including PLND, was performed in all patients.

The preoperative clinical and epidemiological features of the patients were analysed. Analysis of the receiver-operating characteristic curve of DRR for BCR revealed 1.35 to be the optimal cut-off; the median was 1.33. The cut-off of 1.35 had the best Youden index value; therefore, patients with a DRR of \geq 1.35 were assigned to the high-DRR group, while the remaining patients (DRR <1.35) were assigned to the low-DRR group.

All prostate specimens were examined by dedicated genitourinary pathologists at each centre. The pathological stage was assigned using the 2007 American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM staging system.

Follow-up protocol

Follow-up examinations were performed in accordance with institutional protocols. Generally, the patients were followed-up quarterly within the first 2 years and semi-annually thereafter. BCR was defined as a total PSA value of ≥ 0.2 ng/mL after SRP. After SRP, no patient had received androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT) before the diagnosis of BCR. Distant metastases were identified using radiological imaging. The cause of death was determined by the treating physicians, by medical record review corroborated by the death certificate, or by the death certificates alone. In cases for which death certificates were retrieved and reviewed for the cause of death, only men with known recurrence after SRP, who had documented metastatic PCa, and who had PCa listed in the death certificate were considered to have died from PCa. The follow-up duration was calculated from the date of surgery to the date of death or the last follow-up visit.

Statistical analysis

The normal distribution of data was analysed for each continuous variable using kurtosis and skewness. The Student's unpaired *t*-test, Mann–Whitney *U*-test, and Pearson chi-squared test were used to compare two independent factors.

BCR-free survival (BCRFS) and metastases-free survival (MFS) curves were generated using the Kaplan-Meier method. A log-rank test was used for pairwise comparisons of survival. Two sets of multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models were fitted. The preoperative model was limited to clinical and epidemiological preoperative features and the postoperative model included all features available for selection. Discrimination was evaluated using the Harrell's concordance index (C-index). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. All tests were two-sided. Analyses were performed using STATA, version 16.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Association with clinical and pathological features

The clinical and pathological features of the 214 men with radiation-recurrent PCa treated with SRP were stratified by DRR level and are summarised in Table 1. The median (interquartile range) follow-up was 25.3 (15–28.5) months. In all, 102 (47.7%) patients had received neoadjuvant and concomitant ADT with the RT.

Serum DRR was high (\geq 1.35) in 98 (45.8%) patients and low (<1.35) in 116 (54.2%). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups with regards to the clinicopathological features.

Association with BCR

During follow-up, 90 (42.1%) patients developed BCR, 40 (44.4%) patients with a low DRR and 50 (55.6%) with a high DRR. Kaplan–Meier survival estimates showed a significant difference in BCRFS in patients with a low

Table 1. Clinicopathological	characteristics	of the 214	 patients 	treated	with	SRP for	radiation-recurrent	non-metastatic	PCa
according to preoperative DR	R.								

Variable	All	Low DRR	High DRR	Р
Patients, n (%)	214	116 (54.2)	98 (45.8)	
Age at SRP, years, median (IQR)	69 (64–72)	69 (64–73)	68 (64–72)	0.41
Body mass index, kg/m ^{2,} median (IQR)	24 (24–27)	24 (24–27)	24 (24–27)	0.058
Gleason score before RT, n (%)				0.45
6	91(51.12)	48 (50.53)	43 (51.81)	
7	64 (35.96)	32 (33.68)	32 (38.55)	
≥8	23 (12.92)	15 (15.79)	8 (9.64)	
Tumour stage before SRP ($n = 213$), n (%)				0.74
T1	99 (46.48)	56 (48.28)	43 (44.33)	
T2	84 (39.44)	43 (37.08)	41 (42.27)	
≥T3	30 (14.08)	17 (15.66)	13 (14.40)	
Total PSA level before SRP, ng/mL, mean (range)	3.82 (2.05-6.5)	3.68 (1.8-6.27)	4.2 (2.2-7.1)	0.17
Gleason score before SRP, n (%)				0.61
6	48 (22.43)	29 (25)	19 (19.39)	
7	104 (48.60)	54 (46.55)	50 (51.02)	
≥8	62 (28.97)	33 (28.45)	29 (29.59)	
Pathological Gleason score, n (%)				0.62
6	14 (6.54)	9 (7.76)	5 (5.10)	
7	114 (53.27)	63 (54.31)	51(52.04)	
≥8	86 (40.19)	44 (37.93)	42 (42.86)	
Positive surgical margins, n (%)	43 (20.09)	23 (19.83)	20 (20.41)	0.92
Extracapsular extension, n (%)	92 (42.99)	48 (41.38)	44 (44.90)	0.60
Seminal vesicle invasion, n (%)	67 (31.31)	33 (28.45)	34 (34.69)	0.33
Lymph node metastasis, <i>n</i> (%)	40 (18.69)	18 (15.52)	22 (22.45)	0.19

IQR: interquartile range.

vs high serum DRR (Figure 1). On univariable analysis high DRR was significantly associated with BCR [hazard ratio (HR) 1.7, 95% CI 1.12–2.57; P = 0.013). On multivariable analysis, DRR retained its independent association with BCR after adjustments with established confounders in two multivariable regression models, preoperative (HR 1.79, 95% CI 1.16–2.57; P = 0.009) and postoperative (HR 1.7, 95% CI 1.1–2.63; P = 0.018). (Table 2)

Other independent pathological predictors for BCR were extracapsular extension, positive lymph nodes, and the SRP Gleason score (Table 2). Serum DRR improved the C-index by 1.5% up to 0.6768 for prediction of BCR after SRP (Table 2).

Association with metastasis

During follow-up, 23 (10.7%) patients developed metastasis, 11 (47.8%) with a low DRR and 12 (52.2%) with a high DRR. There was no significant association between serum DRR and MFS in univariate (HR 1.40, 95% Cl 0.62–3.17; P = 0.42) and multivariate analysis (HR 1.32, 95% Cl 0.53–3.30; P = 0.55). Significant independent predictors of MFS were preoperative total PSA (HR 1.05, 95% Cl 1.01–1.09; P = 0.007), biopsy Gleason score before SRP (HR 2.14, 95% Cl 1.48–3.09; P < 0.001), positive lymph nodes (HR 3.75, 95% Cl 1.35–10.43; P = 0.011), and pathological Gleason score (HR 2.12, 95% Cl 1.37–3.28; P = 0.001).

Association with OS and cancer-specific survival (CSS)

During follow-up, 18 (8.41%) patients died, seven (38.9%) patients with a low DRR and 11 (61.1%) with a high DRR.

Increased preoperative serum DRR was significantly associated with OS in univariable analysis (HR 2.63, 95% CI 1.004–6.88; P = 0.049). However, it did not retain its statistical association in multivariable analysis when adjusted for the effects of established clinicopathological features (HR 2.35, 95% CI 0.84–6.57; P = 0.10).

As only seven (3.3%) patients were reported to have died from PCa during follow-up, DRR was only tested for association with CSS in univariable analysis, and showed no statistically significant association (HR 3.36, 95% Cl 0.65–17.35; P = 0.15).

Discussion

In the present study, we analysed 214 patients who underwent SRP for radiation-recurrent PCa. The main finding of our present study was the positive association of a high DRR with the risk of BCR in this group of patients. The significant association between a high DRR and the risk of BCR even persisted after adjustment for multiple potential confounders in the Coxmultivariate models. The association of preoperative DRR with the risk of BCR might facilitate recognition of potential relapses and could translate into more intense follow-up and even salvage therapy in selected patients. Notably, in our present study, we found an association between a high DRR and OS in univariate analysis, but not in multivariate analysis.

AST and ALT are commonly used serum biomarkers in daily clinical practice. The AST/ALT ratio is also referred to as the 'De Ritis ratio' from the author who first described it [15]. De Ritis first reported on the ratio as an assessment tool for disease in viral hepatitis [15], but recently it has been found to be a valuable prognostic tool for other non-hepatic diseases [16,17].



Figure 1. Kaplan–Meier curves showing the association of the DRR with: BCRFS (A), MFS (B), OS (C), and CSS (D); in patients treated with SRP for radiation-recurrent PCa.

	Table 2. Pre- and	postoperative	multivariate	Cox regression	analysis of BCR.
--	-------------------	---------------	--------------	----------------	------------------

	BCR					
	Univariabl	e	Multivariable			
Variable	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р		
Preoperative						
Total PSA before SRP	1.05 (1.03–1.07)	<0.001	1.02 (1.002–1.05)	0.031		
Tumour stage before SRP						
T1	_	-	-	-		
T2	1.64 (1.03–2.61)	0.039	1.31 (0.81–2.11)	0.26		
≥T3	2.62 (1.33-4.46)	0.001	1.54 (0.81–2.94)	0.18		
Gleason score before SRP	1.49 (1.26–1.77)	<0.001	1.39 (1.14–1.69)	0.001		
DRR	1.70 (1.12–2.57)	0.013	1.79 (1.16–2.78)	0.009		
Harrell's C-index			0.6619/0.6768			
Postoperative						
Total PSA before SRP	1.05 (1.03–1.07)	<0.001	1.02 (0.99–1.04)	0.13		
Positive surgical margins	2.55 (1.64–3.97)	<0.001	1.40 (0.84–2.36)	0.20		
Extracapsular extension	2.86 (1.87-4.38)	<0.001	1.97 (1.15–3.39)	0.014		
Seminal vesicle invasion	2.41 (1.59–3.67)	<0.001	1.10 (0.65–1.85)	0.72		
Lymph node metastasis	3.29 (2.08-5.20)	<0.001	1.56 (0.87–2.76)	0.13		
Pathological Gleason score	1.55 (1.29–1.87)	<0.001	1.32 (1.05–1.64)	0.015		
DRR	1.70 (1.12–2.57)	0.013	1.70 (1.10–2.63)	0.018		
Harrell's C-index			0.7181/0.7320			

To date, several studies have reported a significant relationship between the DRR and the prognosis of various cancers, regardless of the presence of liver-specific disease [9,10,18]. Bezan *et al.* [12] retrospectively

analysed 698 patients with localised RCC, and reported that the DRR could predict inferior MFS (HR 1.61, 95% CI 1.25–2.07; P < 0.001) and OS (HR 1.76, 95% CI 1.34–2.32; P < 0.001).

For PCa, a retrospective analysis of 488 patients with localised PCa by Wang *et al.* [19], found that a high DRR was an independent predictor of BCR (HR 1.72, 95% CI 1.06–2.78; P = 0 027). Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the prognostic impact of the DRR in patients with radiation-recurrent PCa undergoing SRP.

The mechanisms of association of elevated AST/ALT ratio with poor outcomes of patients with cancer remain unclear. Previous studies hypothesised the involvement of AST in aerobic glycolysis, which is believed to be increased in tumour cells. AST is known to play a vital role in aerobic glycolysis by relocating cytoplasmic nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide hydrogen (NADH) into the mitochondria through malate-aspartate shuffling, which leads to the activation of more AST than ALT in rapidly growing cancer tissues [20–22].

Several limitations of the present study should be noted. First, the retrospective design and the short follow-up. Second, the AST/ALT ratio might have been biased by the presence of an undetected liver disease or a drug interaction that can affect liver function. Further studies with a prospective design are needed for validation of these results.

Conclusion

The present study identified that preoperative serum DRR has potential for predicting BCR in patients after SRP. Patients with a high DRR were more likely to develop BCR (55.6%) than those with a lower DRR (44.4%). DRR might serve as an early indicator of BCR, which may facilitate recognition of potential relapse and could translate into more intense follow-up and even salvage therapy in selected patients.

ORCID

Fahad Quhal (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8163-6953 Mohammad Abufaraj (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6603-6319

References

- [1] Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019;69:7–34.
- [2] Kupelian PA, Mahadevan A, Reddy CA, et al. Use of different definitions of biochemical failure after external beam radiotherapy changes conclusions about relative treatment efficacy for localized prostate cancer. Urology. 2006;68:593–598.
- [3] Walz J, Gallina A, Perrotte P, et al. Clinicians are poor raters of life-expectancy before radical prostatectomy or definitive radiotherapy for localized prostate cancer. BJU Int. 2007;100:1254–1258.

- [4] Shariat SF, Kattan MW, Vickers AJ, et al. Critical review of prostate cancer predictive tools. Future Oncol. 2009;5:1555–1584.
- [5] Chade DC, Eastham J, Graefen M, et al. Cancer control and functional outcomes of salvage radical prostatectomy for radiation-recurrent prostate cancer: a systematic review of the literature. Eur Urol. 2012;61:961–971.
- [6] Shariat SF, Anwuri VA, Lamb DJ, et al. Association of preoperative plasma levels of vascular endothelial growth factor and soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 with lymph node status and biochemical progression after radical prostatectomy. J Clin Oncol. 2004;22:1655–1663.
- [7] Shariat SF, Semjonow A, Lilja H, et al. Tumor markers in prostate cancer I: blood-based markers. Acta Oncol. 2011;50(Suppl. 1):61–75.
- [8] Abdollah F, Gandaglia G, Suardi N, et al. More extensive pelvic lymph node dissection improves survival in patients with node-positive prostate cancer. Eur Urol. 2015;67:212–219.
- [9] Chen SL, Xue N, Wu MT, et al. Influence of preoperative serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST) level on the prognosis of patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2016;17:E1474.
- [10] Wu J, Chen L, Wang Y, et al. Prognostic value of aspartate transaminase to alanine transaminase (De Ritis) ratio in solid tumors: a pooled analysis of 9,400 patients. Onco Targets Ther. 2019;12:5201–5213.
- [11] Yuk HD, Jeong CW, Kwak C, et al. De Ritis ratio (aspartate transaminase/alanine transaminase) as a significant prognostic factor in patients undergoing radical cystectomy with bladder urothelial carcinoma: a propensity score-matched study. Dis Markers. 2019;6702964. eCollection. DOI:10.1155/2019/6702964
- [12] Bezan A, Mrsic E, Krieger D, et al. The preoperative AST/ALT (De Ritis) ratio represents a poor prognostic factor in a cohort of patients with nonmetastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Urol. 2015;194:30–35.
- [13] Mori K, Janisch F, Mostafaei H, et al. Prognostic role of preoperative De Ritis ratio in upper tract urothelial carcinoma treated with nephroureterectomy. Urol Oncol. 2020. Epub ahead of print. DOI:10.1016/j. urolonc.2020.02.008.
- [14] Roach M 3rd, Hanks G, Thames H Jr, et al. Defining biochemical failure following radiotherapy with or without hormonal therapy in men with clinically localized prostate cancer: recommendations of the RTOG-ASTRO phoenix consensus conference. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006;65:965–974.
- [15] De Ritis F, Coltorti M, Giusti G. An enzymic test for the diagnosis of viral hepatitis: the transaminase serum activities. 1957. Clin Chim Acta. 2006;369:148–152.
- [16] Zoppini G, Cacciatori V, Negri C, et al. The aspartate aminotransferase-to-alanine aminotransferase ratio predicts all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95:e4821.
- [17] Weng SF, Kai J, Guha IN, et al. The value of aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase in cardiovascular disease risk assessment. Open Heart. 2015;2:e000272. eCollection.
- [18] Thornburg JM, Nelson KK, Clem BF, et al. Targeting aspartate aminotransferase in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. 2008;10:R84.

218 🕒 F. QUHAL ET AL.

- [19] Wang H, Fang K, Zhang J, et al. The significance of De Ritis (aspartate transaminase/alanine transaminase) ratio in predicting pathological outcomes and prognosis in localized prostate cancer patients. Int Urol Nephrol. 2017;49:1391–1398.
- [20] Vander Heiden MG, Cantley LC, Thompson CB. Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic

requirements of cell proliferation. Science. 2009; 324:1029–1033.

- [21] Hsu PP, Sabatini DM. Cancer cell metabolism: warburg and beyond. Cell. 2008;134:703–707.
- [22] Greenhouse WV, Lehninger AL. Occurrence of the malate-aspartate shuttle in various tumor types. Cancer Res. 1976;36:1392–1396.